#### **ADSIS EOY Report 2023-2024**

#### Alternative Delivery of Specialized Instructional Services End-of-Year Report 2023-23

· ·
This on-line report is to be completed by each individual school receiving ADSIS funding in the 2023-2024 school year.
You will be able to save your work as you go by clicking the link in the black bar across the top and entering your e-mail address.
The report is broken into parts: District Information Contact Information School Information Special Education Referral Goal Reading Services Goal (if provided direct reading services) Mathematics Service Goal (if provided direct math services) Behavior Services Goal (if provided direct behavior services) Stakeholder Level of Satisfaction
District Information
1. Date of report: *
2. District name: *

3. District number: *
<ul> <li>4. District type: *</li> <li>01 - Independent School District</li> <li>02 - Common Districts and Schools</li> <li>03 - Special Districts and Schools</li> <li>07 - Charter Schools</li> <li>10 - Other</li> </ul>
5. Title of ADSIS program: *

6. Please complete the grid below to update your contact information for the 2023-2024 school year. Only one school from the district needs to respond on this item. You must include the:

- Superintendent
- Business manager
- Primary contact

You are encouraged to have at least one contact from each ADSIS school as well as any other staff that would like to receive email reminders and important announcements. Write the contact names in the first column, followed by job title, school, email, and phone numbers.

	Job title	School (if applicable)	Email	Phone Number
Enter another option				
Enter another option				
Enter another option				
Enter another option				
Enter another option				
Enter another option				
Enter another option				
Enter another option				

#### **Contact Information**

7. Name of person comple	eting report: *			
8. E-mail of person completing the report *				
9. School role of the perso	on completing this report:	*		
Superintendent	<ul> <li>District Coordinator</li> </ul>	C Title I Staff		
<ul> <li>School Administrator</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Special Education</li> </ul>	O PBIS Lead		
<ul> <li>Business Manager</li> </ul>	Coordinator	○ Rtl Lead		
	Principal	C Curriculum Specialist		
Director	<ul> <li>Special Education</li> <li>Teacher</li> </ul>	© Related Services		
Special Education		Personnel		
Director	<ul> <li>ADSIS Interventionist</li> </ul>			
10. Phone number of pers	son completing the report:	*		
School Information				
11. School name: *				
11. School name: "				

apply): *
☐ Reading
☐ Mathematics
☐ Behavior
13. Grade levels served through ADSIS at this school (select all that apply):*
□ K □ 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4 □ 5 □ 6 □ 7 □ 8
□ 9 □ 10 □ 11 □ 12
Special Education Referral Goal
14. How many students were referred for special education evaluations in the 2023-24 school year? *
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

17. What was particularly helpful for making progress towards your special education referral goal? *
18. What factors made making progress towards your special education referral goal challenging? *
19. How will you use what you have learned while working towards your special education referral goal this year to inform your efforts next year? For example, what will you do the same, and/or what will you do differently? *
ADSIS Reading Service Goal

hat evidence-based intervention(s) did you use for reading this year? all that apply.
Literacy practices found on What Works Clearinghouse or Best Evidence Encyclopedia
Read Naturally (Dupuis, University of Minnesota's Center for Applied Research and Educational Improvement)
Fluency Chunk (Florida Center for Reading Research: Fluency)
Benchmark Assessment System (Fountas & Pinnell)
American Reading Company (Fountas & Pinnell)
Leveled Literacy Intervention (Fountas & Pinnell)
Peer Assisted Learning Strategies (Fuchs & Fuchs)
Read 180 (Houghton Mifflin Harcourt)
Orton-Gillingham (teaching approach)
Reading Corps: Literacy Collaborative
Repeated Reading (teaching practice)
Small group direct instruction
Stepping Stones to Literacy (Sopris West)
Reading Plus (Taylor Associates/Communications, Inc)
Path to Reading Excellence in School Site (PRESS) (University of Minnesota)
Other, please specify:

21. What tool(s) did you use to measure progress in reading? Select all that apply. *
☐ Curriculum Based Monitoring
☐ Oral Reading Fluency
☐ ROAR Fluency
□ STAR
☐ FASTbridge products
☐ DIBELS systems
☐ Houghton Mifflin Harcourt products
☐ AIMSweb tools
Levelled Literacy Intervention (Fountas & Pinnell)
Other, please specify:
22. In addition to the tools(s) for measuring progress listed above, what, if any, other data did use to measure student progress in reading?

# 23. How often did you formally measure the fidelity of implementation for your Reading Evidence Based Practice?

- Once per Year
- 2 or 3 times per year
- At least once per month
- We did not formally measure fidelity of implementation
- 24. Based on your school data, to what degree did you achieve the reading goal you had set in your work plan? \*
  - Achieved goal
  - Significant progress toward reaching goal (80%)
  - Some progress toward reaching goal (50%)
  - Not making progress as expected (less than 50%)

25. Of the factors listed below, which <u>two</u> contributed most to student progress in reading? *			
<ul> <li>Implementation of an evidence-based intervention with measured fidelity.</li> <li>Direct, explicit instruction in identified areas of need.</li> <li>Additional time spent in direct instruction.</li> </ul>			
			☐ Highly skilled and licensed educators delivering instruction.
			<ul><li>☐ Alignment of intervention to need of student.</li><li>☐ Schedule of intervention time during school day.</li></ul>
Diagnostic assessment was used for data-driven instructional decision- making.			
☐ Intervention decisions were informed by frequent progress monitoring.			
☐ None of the above			
Other - Write In			

26. Of the factors listed below, what were your TOP 3 challenges to student progress in reading? Select three options. *
Evidence-based interventions were not implemented with fidelity.
☐ Instruction was not explicit to area of need.
■ Not enough time was spent on direct instruction.
☐ Teacher's level of skill in delivering ADSIS services.
☐ Schedule of intervention time during school day created conflict.
Progress monitoring was not frequent enough to inform intervention changes.
☐ Diagnostic assessment did not inform instruction decision-making.
☐ Challenges accessing appropriate intervention materials or curriculum.
☐ None of the above.
27. How will you use what you have learned while working towards your reading goal this year to inform your efforts next year? For example, what will you do the same, and/or what will you do differently? *

	28. Based on your responses above, please update your reading S.M.A.R.T. goal for the 2024-2025 school year. *				
00		D.			
ab	. To what extent do you agree o out using data to measure stude Note: this information will be use	ent progres	s in rea	ding? *	
ı	provided by the Minnesota Depa	artment of E	Educatio	on.	
		Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
	My ADSIS team has the skills needed to analyze student data.	0	О	0	O
	My ADSIS team has the appropriate tools and resources needed to interpret analysis of student data.	О	0	О	0
	My ADSIS team uses analysis of student data to inform the services provided to students.	О	O	С	O
	. What was the location of readi lect all that apply. *	ng interven	tion dui	ring a typica	al week?
	☐ Specialized intervention room				
	☐ General education classroom				
	Other, please specify:				

31. What was the frequency of reading interventions during a typical week (number of days per week)? *				
C 1 day				
C 2 days				
O 3 days				
O 4 days				
32. What was the duration of the reading interventions during a typical week (number of minutes per session)? *				
C Less than 20 minutes				
C 20-25 minutes				
C 26-35 minutes				
C 36-45 minutes				
C 46-55 minutes				
More than 55 minutes				
33. In what way(s) was the reading intervention delivered during a typical week? Select all that apply. *				
☐ Small group (fewer than 10 students)				
☐ Large group (10 students or more)				
☐ Individual				
☐ Remote				

34. When was the reading intervention delivered? Select all that apply. ★  □ School day
☐ Extended school day
☐ Extended school year
35. For what topics, if any, would additional training or support from MDE help to support student progress in reading? Please describe.
36. How many students received ADSIS reading services during the 2023-24 school year? *

37. Please enter the number of students that exited ADSIS reading services during the 2023-24 school year in the correct rows: *  *Note: Duplicate student counts are allowed between reading, math and		
behavior within this report.	and are anoned someon reading, main and	
Referral to Special Education		
Met Instructional Goals		
Moved out of District		
Other		
38. How did you measure the intervention on behavior? *	indirect impact of the ADSIS reading	
☐ Behavior rating assessment		
☐ Teacher/student/parent surve	eys	
☐ Teacher and/or classroom ob	oservations	
☐ Office referral data		
☐ We did not measure indirect	impact on behavior.	
Other, please specify:		

39. Briefly summarize the indirect impact the reading intervention had on behavior (include evidence to support conclusions). *
ADSIS Mathematics Service Goal

ADSIS Mathematics Service Goal

hat evidence-based intervention(s) did you use for mathematics this Select all that apply. *
Number Sense (Burns, Math Solutions)
Peer Assisted Learning Strategies (PALS) (Fuchs & Fuchs, Vanderbilt University)
Do the Math (Houghton Mifflin Harcourt)
Math 180 (Houghton Mifflin Harcourt)
Math Expressions (Houghton Mifflin Harcourt)
Saxon Math (Houghton Mifflin Harcourt)
IXL Math (IXL Learning)
Study Island (Edmentum)
Math Navigator (Pearson)
Accelerated Math (Renaissance)
Rocket Math
Small group direct instruction (an approach)
Everyday Math Toolkit (University of Chicago School Mathematics Project)
Math Recovery (US Math Recovery Council)
Other, please specify:

41. What tool(s) did you use to measure progress in mathematics? Select all that apply. *
☐ Formative Assessment System for Teachers – (FAST – CAP/MCAP)
Curriculum Based Monitoring (CBM)
☐ Houghton Mifflin Harcourt: Math Inventory
☐ AIMSweb
☐ Math 180 Progress Monitoring
☐ STAR 360 assessments
□ IXL Math
Minnesota Comprehensive Assessments (MCA)
□ AAIMS
NWEA Measures of Academic Progress (NWEA MAP)
☐ Accelerated Math Assessments
Other, please specify:
42. In addition to the tool(s) for measuring progress listed above, what, if any, other data did use to measure student progress in mathematics?

## 43. How often did you formally measure the fidelity of implementation for your Math Evidence Based Practice?

- Once per Year
- 2 or 3 times per year
- At least once per month
- We did not formally measure fidelity of implementation
- 44. Based on your school data, to what degree did you achieve the mathematics goal you had set in your work plan? \*
  - Achieved goal
  - Significant progress toward reaching goal (80%)
  - Some progress toward reaching goal (50%)
  - Not making progress as expected (less than 50%)

45. Of the factors listed blow, which two contributed most to student progress in mathematics? *
☐ Implementation of an evidence-based intervention with measured fidelity.
☐ Direct, explicit instruction in identified areas of need.
☐ Additional time spent in direct instruction.
☐ Highly skilled and licensed educators delivering instruction.
☐ Alignment of intervention to need of student.
☐ Schedule of intervention time during school day.
<ul> <li>Diagnostic assessment was used for data-driven instructional decision- making.</li> </ul>
☐ Intervention decisions were informed by frequent progress monitoring.
□ None of the above.
46. Of the factors listed below, what were your TOP 3 challenges to student progress in mathematics? Select two options. *
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
progress in mathematics? Select two options. *
progress in mathematics? Select two options. *  Evidence-based interventions were not implemented with fidelity.
progress in mathematics? Select two options. *  Evidence-based interventions were not implemented with fidelity.  Instruction was not explicit to area of need.
progress in mathematics? Select two options. *  Evidence-based interventions were not implemented with fidelity.  Instruction was not explicit to area of need.  Not enough time was spent on direct instruction.
progress in mathematics? Select two options. *  Evidence-based interventions were not implemented with fidelity.  Instruction was not explicit to area of need.  Not enough time was spent on direct instruction.  Teacher's level of skill in delivering ADSIS services.
progress in mathematics? Select two options. *  Evidence-based interventions were not implemented with fidelity.  Instruction was not explicit to area of need.  Not enough time was spent on direct instruction.  Teacher's level of skill in delivering ADSIS services.  Schedule of intervention time during school day created conflict.  Progress monitoring was not frequent enough to inform intervention
progress in mathematics? Select two options. *  Evidence-based interventions were not implemented with fidelity.  Instruction was not explicit to area of need.  Not enough time was spent on direct instruction.  Teacher's level of skill in delivering ADSIS services.  Schedule of intervention time during school day created conflict.  Progress monitoring was not frequent enough to inform intervention changes.

47. How will you use what you have learned while working towards your mathematics goal this year to inform your efforts next year? For example, what will you do the same, and/or what will you do differently? *
48. Based on your responses above, please update your mathematics S.M.A.R.T. goal for the 2024-2025 school year. *

49. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about using data to measure student progress in mathematics? This information will be used to guide future technical assistance provided by MDE.

Note: this information will be used to inform future technical assistance provided by the Minnesota Department of Education.

	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
My ADSIS team has the skills needed to analyze student data.	O	O	O	О
My ADSIS team has the appropriate tools and resources needed to interpret analysis of student data.	О	O	С	O
My ADSIS team uses analysis of student data to inform the services provided to students.	О	О	С	О

50. What was the location of the math intervention during a typical week? Select all that apply. *
☐ Specialized intervention room
☐ General education classroom
Other, please specify:

51. What was the frequency of math intervention during a typical week (number of days per week)? *
C 1 day
C 2 days
O 3 days
C 4 days
52. What was the duration of the math intervention during a typical week (number of minutes per session). *
C Less than 20 minutes
© 20-25 minutes
C 26-35 minutes
C 36-45 minutes
C 46-55 minutes
More than 55 minutes
53. In what way(s) was the math intervention delivered during a typical week? Select all that apply. *
☐ Small group (fewer than 10 students)
☐ Large group (10 or more students)
☐ Individual
☐ Remote

54. When was the math intervention delivered? Select all that apply. ★  □ School day
☐ Extended school day
☐ School year
55. For what topics, if any, would additional training or support from MDE help to support student progress in math? Please describe.
56. How many students received ADSIS mathematics services during the 2023-24 school year? *

57. Please enter the number of students that exited ADSIS mathematics		
services during the 2023-24 school year in the correct rows: *		
•	unts are allowed between reading, math and	
behavior within this report.		
Referral to Special Education		
Met Instructional Goals		
Moved out of District		
Other		
58. How did you measure the on behavior? *	indirect impact of the ADSIS math intervention	
☐ Behavior rating assessment		
☐ Teacher/student/parent surv	eys	
☐ Teacher and/or classroom ol	bservations	
☐ Office referral data		
☐ We did not measure indirect	impact on behavior.	
☐ Other		

59. Briefly summarize the indirect impact the mathematics intervention had on behavior (include evidence to support conclusions). *
ADSIS Behavior Service Goal
60. What evidence-based intervention(s) did you use for behavior this year? Select all that apply.
☐ Check and Connect
☐ Check-In, Check-Out
Committee for Children: Second step
Homework, Organization, and Planning Skills (HOPS)
☐ Positive Behaviors Interventions and Supports (PBIS)
☐ Homework, Organization, and Planning Skills (HOPS)
☐ Small Group Direct Instruction
☐ Social Skills Instruction
☐ Teach executive function skills
☐ Zones of regulation
Other, please specify:

61. What tools(s) did you use to measure progress in behavior? Select all that apply. *
☐ Office Referrals
☐ Direct Behavior Ratings (DBR)
☐ Student Risk Screening Scale (SRSS)
☐ Student Information Systems Software (SISS)
Formative Assessment System for Teachers - Social, Academic, and Emotional Behavior Risk Screener (SAEBRS - FAST)
☐ Academic problems and referrals
☐ Attendance
☐ School Wide Information System (SWIS)
☐ Office Discipline Referrals (ODR)
☐ Positive Behavior Interventions System (PBIS)
☐ Check in Check out data
☐ Teacher Surveys
Other, please specify:
62. In addition to the tool(s) for measuring progress listed above, what, if any, other data did you use to measure student progress in behavior?

## 63. How often did you formally measure the fidelity of implementation for your Behavior Evidence Based Practice?

- Once per Year
- 2 or 3 times per year
- At least once per month
- We did not formally measure fidelity of implementation
- 64. Based on your school data, to what degree did you achieve the behavior goal you had set in your work plan? \*
  - Achieved goal
  - Significant progress toward reaching goal (80%)
  - Some progress toward reaching goal (50%)
  - Not making progress as expected (less than 50%)

in bel	f the factors listed blow, which two contributed most to student progress navior? *
	Implementation of an evidence-based intervention with measured fidelity.
	Direct, explicit instruction in identified areas of need.
	Additional time spent in direct instruction.
	Highly skilled and licensed educators delivering instruction.
	Alignment of intervention to need of student.
	Schedule of intervention time during school day.
	Diagnostic assessment was used for data-driven instructional decision-making.
	Intervention decisions were informed by frequent progress monitoring.
	None of the above.
	f the factors listed below, which were the top 3 challenges to student ess in behavior? *
	·
	ess in behavior? *
	ess in behavior? *  Evidence-based interventions were not implemented with fidelity.
	ess in behavior? *  Evidence-based interventions were not implemented with fidelity.  Instruction was not explicit to area of need.
	ess in behavior? *  Evidence-based interventions were not implemented with fidelity.  Instruction was not explicit to area of need.  Not enough time was spent on direct instruction.
	ess in behavior? *  Evidence-based interventions were not implemented with fidelity.  Instruction was not explicit to area of need.  Not enough time was spent on direct instruction.  Teacher's level of skill in delivering ADSIS services.
	Evidence-based interventions were not implemented with fidelity.  Instruction was not explicit to area of need.  Not enough time was spent on direct instruction.  Teacher's level of skill in delivering ADSIS services.  Schedule of intervention time during school day created conflict.  Progress monitoring was not frequent enough to inform intervention
	Evidence-based interventions were not implemented with fidelity.  Instruction was not explicit to area of need.  Not enough time was spent on direct instruction.  Teacher's level of skill in delivering ADSIS services.  Schedule of intervention time during school day created conflict.  Progress monitoring was not frequent enough to inform intervention changes.

67. How will you use what you have learned while working towards your behavior goal this year to inform your efforts next year? For example, what will you do the same, and/or what will you do differently? *
68. Based on your responses above, please update your behavior S.M.A.R.T. goal for the 2024-2025 school year.

69. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about using data to measure student progress in behavior? \*

Note: this information will be used to inform future technical assistance provided by the Minnesota Department of Education.

	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
My ADSIS team has the skills needed to analyze student data.	O	O	0	О
My ADSIS team has the appropriate tools and resources needed to interpret analysis of student data.	О	O	С	С
My ADSIS team uses analysis of student data to inform the services provided to students.	0	0	О	0

70. What was the location of the behavior intervention during a typical week? Select all that apply. *
☐ Specialized intervention room
☐ General education classroom
Other, please specify:

71. What was the frequency of behavior intervention during a typical week (number of days per week)? *
○ 1 day
C 2 days
C 4 days
72. What was the duration of the behavior intervention during a typical week (number of minutes per session). *
C Less than 20 minutes
© 20-25 minutes
C 26-35 minutes
C 36-45 minutes
C 46-55 minutes
73. In what way(s) was the behavior intervention delivered during a typical week? Select all that apply. *
☐ Small group (fewer than 10 students)
☐ Large group (10 or more students)
☐ Individual
☐ Remote

77. Please enter the number of students that exited ADSIS behavior services during the 2023-24 school year in the correct rows: *  *Note: Duplicate student counts are allowed between reading, math and
behavior within this report.
Referral to Special Education
Met Instructional Goals
Moved out of District
Other
78. How did you measure the indirect impact of the ADSIS behavior intervention on academics? Select all that apply. *
☐ Academic indicators (for example: MCAs, grades)
☐ Teacher/student/parent surveys
Student benchmark data (for example: STAR, FAST, AIMSweb, or DBR reports)
Reviews during regular team meetings
☐ We did not measure indirect impact on academics.
Other, please specify:

79. Briefly summarize the indirect impact the behavior intervention had on academics (include evidence to support conclusions). *					
Stakeholder Level of Sat	Istaction				
80. Enter the number responded in the grid		olders that	t were	surveyed and the	e number that
	Numbe	er Surveyed		Number Responde	ed
Parents/Guardians					
Teachers					
Students					
81. Enter the numbe satisfaction as high,			each gr	oup that rated the	eir
	High	Medium	Low	,	
Parents/Guardians					
Teachers					
Students					

82. What are three things you learned from the feedback you received from stakeholders? *
83. How are you using the feedback you received about stakeholder satisfaction to inform future planning for ADSIS? *
84. Please share a story or anecdote that captures the impact ADSIS has had at your school. (Optional)
Thank You!
Thank you for taking our survey. Your response is very important to us.